Approved For Release 1999/09/16: CIA-RDP62-00647A000100010017/-

53

December Att. 1959

COCOM Document No. 3710.70/1

COORDINATING COMMITTEE

RECORD OF DISCUSSION

ON

ITEM 1070 - FORGING HAMMERS

29th October, 18th, 19th and 30th November, 1959

Present:

Belgium (Luxembourg), Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan,

Netherlands, United Kingdom, United States.

References:

COCOM Documents Nos. 3700.1, .2 and .8, 3710.00/1 and

W.P.1070/1 and 2:

- 1. The UNITED KINGDOM Delegation proposed the deletion of this item. The GERMAN Delegation suggested that the definition of part (d) should be clarified, but afterwards expressed their readiness to accept deletion if such were the wish of the majority.
- 2. With the exception of the United States Delegation, views in favour of deletion of the item were expressed by all. The UNITED STATES Delegation accepted deletion for parts (a) and (b) only.
- 3. The COMMITTEE agreed that parts (a) and (b) of Item 1070 should be deleted.
- 4. The discussion continued on the current parts (c) and (d).
- 5. The United Kingdom proposal for deletion of these two sub-items was supported by all Delegations except that of the United States.
- 6. In view of this situation, the CHAIRMAN invited the United States Delegation to make an effort to join the majority when discussion was resumed during the second round.
- 7. On the 19th November the UNITED STATES Delegation submitted a proposal to redefine Item 1070 as follows:

"Forging hammers, as follows:

- (a) Counter-blow hammers of rated sizes of 25,000 kilogrammeters or English equivalent or more;
- (b) Impact hammers, horizontal, of rated sizes of 10,000 footpounds (1,382.55 kg.m.) or more."

The United States expert made a statement in justification of this proposal.

8. **ALL DELEGATIONS** agreed to submit this proposal to their Governments and to express their views in the course of the second round of discussions. It was also understood that for the second round interested Delegations would secure the opinion of their experts as to whether or not there was a discrepancy of coverage between the English and French texts of the current definition of Item 1070(d).

CONCLUSION:

The COMMITTEE agreed to delete parts (a) and (b) from the definition of Item 1070. They noted that agreement had not been reached as to the remainder of the definition, and agreed to resume its study during the second round of discussion.